**THREAT ACTORS**

**Introduction**

In this lesson, we’ll be looking at some common types of threat actors. We’ll discuss some of their attributes, and what makes them unique or dangerous. In general, we’ll start with less dangerous types of threat actors, and move on to more dangerous types.

Before we begin, let’s talk about a type of threat actor we won’t be going over: Individual Hackers. Hackers are people too, and they have widely varied personalities, motivations, and skill sets. There is no single profile that can fit all individual hackers without being incredibly vague. Generally speaking, hackers are categorized into ethical (white-hat), malicious (black-hat), and semi-ethical (gray-hat) depending on the actions they take.

We also won’t be discussing APTs (Advanced Persistent Threats) as a type of their own: An APT is simply a threat actor that has advanced capabilities and is difficult to dissuade. If it takes 6 months to compromise a target, then they’ll spend 6 months working on it.




### Human Error

One of the most common threat actors isn’t some shadowy hacker group, but the potential to make mistakes that lies in every one of us! Even though these mistakes aren’t intentionally malicious, they still represent an (abstract) threat actor that should be considered when designing systems. Human error doesn’t have any specific targets or objectives - it can strike anytime and anywhere that humans are involved, “attacking” through unpredictable means and with unpredictable results.

Protecting against **human error** means designing processes and systems in ways where it is impossible (or at least very difficult) for mistakes to have a serious impact. We see this in Murphy’s Law: if it’s possible to do something incorrectly, someone will do it incorrectly. Human error has caused data breaches, civil engineering disasters, airplane crashes, industrial accidents, and much, much more.

Human error can be both external and internal and includes any action that can be done by a human. Human error has whatever access has been granted to the human making the error, but very little sophistication. For example, multi-step mistakes are less likely than very simple errors. Human error has no specific targets, as well as no “negative” motivation, as the humans making the errors are often trying NOT to make errors!




### Script Kiddies

**Script Kiddies** is a term that refers to inexperienced hackers who lack experience, as well as have a low understanding of [hacking](https://www.codecademy.com/resources/docs/cybersecurity/hacking) and the tools used to do it. They make use of already existing hacking tools and scripts, without understanding the underlying vulnerabilities that are being exploited. The word “kiddie”, usually used to refer to a small child, is instead used to represent their lack of sophistication.

Script kiddies are usually opportunistic in their targeting, and often hack just for the sake of hacking, rather than achieving some premeditated objective. However, this lack of planning or high-level motivation doesn’t mean script kiddies are harmless!

Modern hacking tools are easy to acquire, configure, and use, and the vast majority of vulnerabilities that get exploited in real-world scenarios are known vulnerabilities for which exploits have been developed and distributed.

Script kiddies are almost always external threats, with low sophistication and resources. They often have minimal or no funding, and only freely available resources. Their goals can vary, but they usually operate opportunistically and don’t have a great deal of motivation. Once a script kiddie has been stopped by security measures, they’re likely to move on to an easier target.



### Insider Threats


**Insider threats** are threat actors operating within an organization. Insider threats are usually employees but contractors or ex-employees can be considered insider threats too.

Insider threats can have a variety of goals, such as financial gain, personal grievances, or gaining some sort of advantage over others. Insider threats can operate opportunistically or deliberately, sometimes working with other threat actors to give them access they would not have otherwise had.

Insider threats usually have elevated access and increased knowledge when compared to external threat actors. This can make them more difficult to detect, and it can give them more options for how to attack the organization. Insider threats can have a wide range of sophistication - A disgruntled cashier, for example, will probably not be as sophisticated a threat as a disgruntled security analyst.

Insider threats are one reason why it’s so important to follow the principle of least privilege! Limiting the access insiders have means that a malicious insider will have fewer options available to them, and can do less damage.

One specific type of insider threat is that of Shadow IT. **Shadow IT** refers to assets that are part of an organization’s network, but aren’t set up or managed by IT AND that IT and Security are not aware of. This can be dangerous since unknown assets on an organization’s network can create attack vectors that the organization is not aware of until it’s too late.



### Hacker Groups

Before we move on to “scarier” threat actors, we need to discuss the generic concept of a “hacker group”. **Hacker groups** are, as the name suggests, groups of hackers. This is a very broad term, and it can refer to everything from a group of friends who like tinkering with game consoles to state-sponsored threat groups.

Many of the types of threat actors we will soon discuss also operate as groups, but there are plenty of groups that don’t fit cleanly into any one category. These “leftover” groups can have a staggering variety of sophistication, resources, goals, and motivations, without necessarily having a clearly defined set of tactics.

One hacker group might be for people interested in [hacking](https://www.codecademy.com/resources/docs/cybersecurity/hacking) Bluetooth devices, for example, while another group might be loosely-knit groups of individuals, all with their own style, who like to show off their work to each other.

Some groups might operate opportunistically, while others might focus on a specific target with a long-term objective in mind, though these objectives aren’t always “reasonable”. There has been at least one documented case of a hacker group compromising a game developer because… well, they just wanted to play an early version of an upcoming game.



### Hacktivists

**Hacktivists** are hackers with an ideology, who strongly believe in a cause and are willing to break the law to further that cause.

Hacktivists are defined by their strong motivation; this motivation means that they can be very difficult to dissuade from a target. If one method doesn’t work, they will just try different approaches until something works.

Hacktivists can have a wide variety of objectives that they aim to achieve via [hacking](https://www.codecademy.com/resources/docs/cybersecurity/hacking). Defacement, denial of service, and leaking confidential information are all common actions taken by hacktivists.

Hacktivists are usually external threats, and they can have a range of sophistication and resources. Their goals are usually in service to whatever cause they are rallying behind. The most dangerous aspect of hacktivist groups is their motivation. Many of these groups have goals that seem noble on paper. For example, revealing corruption or freeing someone being held against their will by an ethically dubious hospital, and it can be easy to see why these hackers would feel strongly enough to take matters into their own hands.

